

Appl. No. 10/034,224
Amdt. dated August 1, 2006
Reply to Office Action of May 3, 2006

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
AUG 01 2006

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicants received the Office Action dated May 3, 2006 following Applicants' Appeal Brief. In the May 3rd Office Action, the Examiner evidently conceded the previous ground of rejection but entered a new ground of rejection. The Examiner now rejects claims 1-23 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Constien (U.S. Pat. No. 6,259,932, hereinafter "Constien") in view of Kikinis (U.S. Pat. No. 5,799,068, hereinafter "Kikinis"). With this Response, Applicants traverse the Examiner's new ground of rejection for several reasons.

Claim 1 requires that the display have an external casing "in which a plurality of externally exposed recessed cavities are provided." The Examiner argues Constien discloses a display casing in which a plurality of cavities are provided. Specifically, the Examiner identifies element 9 of Figures 1-3 of Constien as being the claimed plurality of cavities. Element 9 in Constien is a retractable antenna. An antenna clearly is not a recessed cavity, much less a plurality of recessed cavities. Antenna 9 resides within in hinge 8, but a hinge can hardly be said to be a recessed cavity within a display casing. Applicants do not find any teaching whatsoever of "a plurality of externally exposed recessed cavities" provided in a casing of a display. Applicants request the Examiner to identify with specificity the claimed plurality of recessed cavities in Constien. Kikinis does not satisfy this deficiency of Constien.

The Examiner further acknowledged that Constien does not disclose at least at least one recessed cavity adapted to receive a radio module and another recessed cavity adapted to receive an antenna module. Instead, the Examiner turned to Kikinis. However, with reference to Kikinis, the Examiner noted that Kikinis discloses a "DSP module" and an "intelligent module." Kikinis does not teach or suggest that the DSP and intelligent modules are radio and antenna modules. For example, Kikinis discloses that the intelligent module affords "control of the smart phone and the entire system through the input interface of the docked module." Abstract of Kikinis. It cannot fairly be said that Kikinis' intelligent module is a radio or antenna module.


Appl. No. 10/034,224
Amdt. dated August 1, 2006
Reply to Office Action of May 3, 2006

The combination of Constien and Kikinis fails to teach or suggest a casing for a display that comprises recessed cavities for radio and antenna modules.

For any or all of these reasons, Applicants contend that claim 1 and claims dependent thereon are allowable over the cited art. The same or similar reasons apply to claims 12-23 as well.

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. It is believed that no extensions of time or fees are required, beyond those that may otherwise be provided for in documents accompanying this paper. However, in the event that additional extensions of time are necessary to allow consideration of this paper, such extensions are hereby petitioned under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a), and any fees required (including fees for net addition of claims) are hereby authorized to be charged to Hewlett-Packard Development Company's Deposit Account No. 08-2025.

Respectfully submitted,


Jonathan M. Harris
PTO Reg. No. 44,144
CONLEY ROSE, P.C.
(713) 238-8000 (Phone)
(713) 238-8008 (Fax)
ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANTS

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
Intellectual Property Administration
Legal Dept., M/S 35
P.O. Box 272400
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400